

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	· ·		.		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,060	01/08/2001	Jill E. Wood	BAYER-1 D1	8038	
23599 75	90 07/16/2002	<u> </u>			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER		
			POWERS, FIONA		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	1		1626		
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002 12		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/755,060		WOOD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Fiona T. Pov		1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	<u>May 2002</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is n	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 18-31 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 18-31 is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/755,060

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 18 to 31 are pending in the application. Note that claims 19 to 32 presented in the preliminary amendment filed

January 8, 2001 have been renumbered as claims 18 to 31 since there were only claims 1 to 17 as originally filed.

Receipt is acknowledged of the request for reconsideration filed May 28, 2002, which has been entered in the file.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 18 to 31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,187,799. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a substantial amount of the compounds in the claims are identical. For example, the twenty nine compounds used in the method of instant claim 18 are

Application/Control Number: 09/755,060

Art Unit: 1626

the same as twenty nine of the thirty compounds used in the method of claim 1 of the patent. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the claimed compounds with the expectation that they would be useful for the same purpose as disclosed in the patent.

Applicant's arguments filed May 28, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that support of a case of obviousness-type double patenting requires reasons why the claims are structurally obvious and that the rejection is internally inconsistent, as it does not reject claims 31 and 32 on this basis. The claims are obvious because identical compounds are used for the same purpose as the compounds claimed in that patent. Additionally, claim 31 is also rejected in the instant office action on obviousness-type double patenting grounds.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T. Powers whose telephone number is 703-308-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 703-308-4537. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

Application/Control Number: 09/755,060

Art Unit: 1626

are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Fiona T. Powers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1626

Page 4

ftp July 12, 2002